Preparation and *In Vitro* Antibacterial Activity of 9-O-Glycosyloxime Derivatives of Erythromycin A, a New Class of Macrolide Antibiotics

CYRILLE GRANDJEAN* and GABOR LUKACS

Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles du CNRS, 91198 Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France

(Received for publication April 30, 1996)

9-O-Glycosyloxime derivatives of erythromycin A have been synthesized and their *in vitro* antibacterial activity compared with that of erythromycin A (1). This new class of macrolide antibiotics showed reduced antibacterial spectrum. However, some derivatives were as or more active than erythromycin A (1) against strains, responsible for respiratory track infections, such as *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella catarrhalis* or *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

The therapeutic utility of erythromycin A (1) has never been refuted for over four decades and this antibiotic remains largely used in clinics^{1,2)}. However, the use of erythromycin A (1) suffers from limitations. Of particular importance is the poor resorption of this orally administered drug due to its instability in the gastric juice: at low pH, erythromycin A (1) is, indeed, transformed into inactive metabolites via acetalic intermediates³⁾. Its hepatotoxicity is a second limiting factor: erythromycin A (1) has been shown to induce and inactivate glucocorticoid responsive isoenzymes⁴⁾. The production of a suicide-type inhibitory complex was thought to proceed via N-demethylation followed by oxidation into nitrosoalkane reactive species of the Ndimethylamino group⁵). As a tertiary amine is essential for the binding of macrolide antibiotics with their ribosomal target within the bacteria⁶⁾, the hepatotoxicity can only be reduced by (a) increasing the amine pKa to favour the proportion of the protonated form at physiological pH⁷) (b) increasing the steric hindrance around the N-dimethylamino group⁸⁾ (c) inducing conformational changes⁹⁾ (d) diminishing the hydrophobicity (e.g. comparative study of hepatotoxicity of oleandomycin and troleandomycin⁸⁾). Only little attention has been payed to investigate the structure-activity relationship on hepatotoxicity. However, considerable efforts were devoted to circumvent the acidic degradation pathway of erythromycin A. These investigations led to the discovery of several hemisynthetic drugs³⁾, among which has emerged roxithromycin¹⁰ (2), a 9-ether oxime derivative. Focusing on hydrophobicity, we planned to introduce extra hydroxy groups on erythromycin A (1). Linkage of carbohydrates to (E)-9-erythromycin A oxime (3) was thought to fulfil our goal while not considerably affecting tissue penetration, an essential character of macrolide antibiotics. In this paper, the deprotection step of recently reported¹¹⁾, fully protected *O*-glycosyloxime derivatives of erythromycin A and their *in vitro* antibacterial activity evaluation are described.

Chemistry

Compounds $4 \sim 11$ were obtained regiospecifically *via* S_N^2 displacement of carbohydrate triflates from the oximate ion of compound 3. We would like to emphasize that the free hydroxyl groups of the macrolide do not react at all in our experimental conditions. Protecting groups of carbohydrates were chosen so that only one deprotection step would be necessary to liberate the hydroxyl groups. However, deprotection of benzyl and/ or benzylidene groups in compounds $4 \sim 9$ appeared particularly difficult (Table 1), although, catalytic hydrogen transfer or hydrogenolytic reactions are commonly encountered in the chemistry of erythromycin A $(1)^{12 \sim 14}$. We first envisaged catalytic hydrogen transfer



1 X,Y = O (Erythromycin A) 15 X = NH_2 , Y= H or X = H, Y = NH_2

Entry	Substrate	Experimental conditions ^a	Product	Yield %		
а	4	HCOONH ₄ - HCOOH	12	40		
		EtOH, 4 hours		(35% starting material)		
b	4	H_2 -760 mmHg EtOH, 1 day	13	85		
с	4	H ₂ -760 mmHg AcONa MeOH, 1 week	12	82		
d	4	H_2 -760 mmHg AcOH - AcONa	14	69		
e	4	H_2 -1550 mmHg AcOH - AcONa	15	55		
		$EtOH - H_2O$, overnight		(20% starting material)		
f	5	H_2 -760 mmHg AcOH - AcONa EtOH - H_2O , 2 weeks	16	68		
g	6	H_2 -760 mmHg AcOH - AcONa EtOH - H_2O_2 weeks	17	55		
h	7	H_2 -760 mmHg AcOH - AcONa FtOH - H, O, 2 weeks	18	75		
i	8	H_2 -760 mmHg AcOH - AcONa EtOH H O 2 weeks	19	60		
j	9	H_2 -760 mmHg AcOH - AcONa EtOH - H_2 O, 2 weeks	20	65		

Table 1. Hydrogenolysis conditions of benzyl and benzylidene groups.

^a All reactions were carried out in the presence of a catalytic amount of Pd/C 10% w/w.

conditions¹²⁾. Treatment of compound 4 with ammonium formate and formic acid in the presence of palladium on charcoal 10% w/w (Pd/C 10% w/w) in refluxed MeOH afforded compound 12 in 40% yield together with a substantial amount (35%) of starting material and some degradation products (Table 1, entry a). Obviously, the benzylidene acetal group was stable under these conditions. Thus, we turned to classical hydrogenolysis. Reaction of compound 4 under atmospheric pressure of hydrogen in the presence of a catalytic amount of Pd/C10% w/w in EtOH allowed the desired cleavage of both the benzyl and benzylidene groups. Unfortunately, the reaction was accompanied by the loss of the cladinose moiety and compound 13 was obtained as a sole product in excellent yield (Table 1, entry b). This unexpected loss of cladinose probably resulted from the acidification of the reaction mixture. To prevent its formation, the reaction was run in the presence of sodium acetate (Table 1, entry c). However, these conditions afforded the above mentioned compound 12 in rather improved yield. Slightly lowering the pH of the reaction medium was thought to diminish the stability of the benzylidene acetal ring. Thus, deprotection was attempted in an acetic acid/sodium acetate buffer in a mixture of MeOH - H₂O according to the literature¹⁴⁾ (Table 1, entry d). Thus the fully deprotected compound 14 was isolated in 69% yield together with some degradations products. In fact, the reaction was slow and required two weeks to come to completion. An assay was run in a Parr apparatus under 1550 mmHg pressure of hydrogen to accelerate the

deprotection and, consequently, to reduce the decomposition which might be caused by prolonged stay of the substrates in the reaction vessel (Table 1, entry e). Disappointingly, the oximic linkage appeared to be unstable under these conditions. Starting compound 4 and erythromycylamine¹⁵⁾ 15 were the sole observed products in 20% and 55% yield, respectively, after 12 hours of reaction. The facile cleavage of the N-O bound was surprising if we refer to the usually applied conditions to prepare compound 15 from 3^{15} . Previous conditions (i.e. entry d) were actually selected and applied for the deprotection of compounds $5 \sim 9$. Compounds $16 \sim$ 20 were obtained in 68, 55, 75, 60 and 65% yield, respectively. Finally, rapid sodium methanolate treatment of intermediates 10 and 11 afforded compounds 21 and 22 in 72 and 65% yield while minimizing lactone ring opening.

In Vitro Antibacterial Activity

The *in vitro* antibacterial activities, determined following standard broth microdilution techniques, are shown in Table 2. Compounds **13**, **19** and **20**, by far the most hydrophilic derivatives of the family, are essentially inactive. This absence of activity may be caused by cell membrane impermeability. The other compounds exhibit a more differentiated activity. On the one hand, they are only slightly active against Staphilocoques, Enterocoques and *Streptococcus agalactiae* compared to erythromycin A. On the other hand, their *in vitro* performance is more pronounced against strains (*e.g. Streptococcus pneumo*-



niae, Moraxella catarrhalis and Haemophilus influenzae) clinically treated with macrolides. Strong differences can however be noticed: compounds 17, 18, 21 and 22 are moderately active whereas compounds 14 and 16 are as or more active against these strains than erythromycin A (1). Surprisingly, the observed activity does not simply derive from the creation of an hydrophilic region but depends on more subtile structural changes such as the anomeric configuration of the newly introduced carbohydrate, the binding site on this carbohydrate and even on the nature (*i.e.* axial or equatorial) of this bond. For example, compound 21 is about two to four fold more active than compound 22 against the selected strains. These two compounds only differ from the carbon

of the glucose moiety at which the oxime is bond (at the C-6 position for compound 22 and at the C-4 position for compound 22). The difference of activity of compounds 14 and 17 or 18 and 22 is even more striking and is only due to the orientation of the oximic linkage (either axial for compounds 14 and 18 or equatorial for compounds 17 and 22).

Experimental

General

Meltings points were determined with a Reichert-Jung apparatus and are not corrected. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer Model 241 polarimeter. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using

O-Glycosyloxime derivative	13	14	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Strains	Mueller Hinton broth + 10% lysed horse blood								
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213	u	4	8	32	32	u.	64	16	8
S. aureus 28	u	2	4	16	16	u	>64	8	4
S. aureus A8	u	8	8	32	32	u	> 32	16	8
S. epidermis A10	u	2	4	16	16	u	32	8	4
S. warneri LG19	ú	2	2	16	32	u	. 32	8	4
Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212	u	2	2	16	u	u	32	32	8
E. faecalis 995	u	4	4	64	u	u ·	u	64	16
E. faecalis 1072	u	8	8	64	u	u	64	16	8
E. faecalis 932	u	4	4	32	u	u	64	- 32	4
Streptococcus agalactiae 725	u	1	1	8	4	32	16	4	1
S. agalactiae 5942	, u	1	2	8	8	32	16	4	1
S. agalactiae 280	u	1	1	8	8	32	32	4	2
S. agalactiae 288	u	0.5	1	4	4	32	32	4	0.5
Streptococcus pneumoniae Peni R	8	0.12	0.12	2	0.5	32	16	8	1
S. pneumoniae A14	32	0.5	0.5	4	2	32	8	nt	nt
Moraxella catarrhalis A04	u	4	4	32	32	64	32	8	4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mueller Hinton broth + Fildes extract								
Haemophilus influenzae A22	u	0.5	1	2	16	nt	nt	2	I

Table 2. In vitro activity of O-glycosyloxime derivatives^a.

^a In vitro activity is the ratio of the geometric means of erythromycin A/geometric mean of the O-glycosyloxime derivatives' MIC of selected sensitive microorganisms. u=unactive; nt=not tested.

E. Merck plates of silica gel 60 with fluorescent indicator. Visualization was effected by spraying plates with 5% H_2SO_4 in ethanol followed by heating at $120 \sim 140^{\circ}C$. Column chromatography was made on the same support. THF was distilled over sodium/benzophenone, DMF, DMSO and pyridine were distilled over CaH₂. CH₂Cl₂ was distilled over P2O5 and MeOH over magnesium. Nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H and ¹³C NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker WP 200, Bruker WP 300 and Bruker WP 300 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are recorded in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard. FAB-MS spectra were obtained on a SM-80 spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were run on a VG-ZAB-SEQ spectrometer by the Service Central d'Analyse, Vernaison. Elemental analyses were performed by the microanalytical laboratory at the ICSN, Gif sur Yvette.

General Procedure for the Hydrogenolysis of Protecting Groups (Compounds 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9)

Substrate (1 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH-H₂O (15:1.75 ml/mmol of substrate) containing acetic acid (74 μ l/mmol of substrate) and sodium acetate trihydrate (41.4 mg/mmol of substrate). 10% Pd/C w/w (150 mg/mmol of substrate) was then added. The reaction was run under atmospheric pressure of hydrogen at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was filtered off and washed with MeOH. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

 $\frac{(E)-9-\{O-[Methyl 4,6-O-(phenylmethylene)-\beta-D-allo-pyranosid-3-yl]oxime\} of Erythromycin A (12)$

To a solution of compound 4 (200 mg, 0.18 mmol) in MeOH (4 ml) were added sodium acetate (100 mg, 0.71 mmol) and Pd/C 10% w/w (60 mg). The mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature under atmospheric pressure of hydrogen. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was filtered and washed with MeOH. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and compound 12 (150 mg, 82%) was obtained after flash chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-NH₄OH, 10:1:0.05) followed by crystallization from Et₂O-heptane: Rf 0.32 (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-NH₄OH, 10:1:0.05); mp 148~151°C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ -41 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 4.41 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1'-H), 4.54 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, 1'''-H), 5.04 (1H, J = 10.9 Hz, 13-H), 5.50 (1H, s, H benzylidene acetal) and 7.28 ~ 7.48 (5H, m, Ph); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 14.5 (10-CH₃), 18.9 (8-CH₃), 27.0 (C-8), 33.2 (C-10), 57.5 (1^{'''}-OCH₃), 64.6 (C-5^{'''}), 69.4 (C-6^{'''}), 70.7 (C-2^{'''}), 77.9 (C-4""), 80.7 (C-3""), 101.7 (C benzylidene acetal), 103.3 (C-1"), 126.3, 128.2, 129.1 and 137.6 (5 aromatic C) and 169.9 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 1035 (M+Na)⁺ and 1013 $(M+H)^+$.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{51}H_{85}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$:

1013.5797.

Found: 1013.5818.

 $\frac{(E)-9-[O-(Methyl \ \beta-D-allopyranosid-3-yl)oxime] of}{3-O-Decladinosylerythromycin A (13)}$

A mixture of compound 4 (200 mg, 0.18 mmol) and Pd/C 10% w/w (27 mg) in EtOH (10 ml) was stirred at

room temperature under atmospheric pressure of hydrogen. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was filtered and washed with MeOH. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Compound 13 (114 mg, 80%) was obtained after flash chromatography on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH , 5:1:0.05) followed by crystallization from CHCl₃-heptane: Rf 0.13 $(CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 5:1:0.05); mp 152 \sim 154^{\circ}C;$ $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} - 33 \ (c \ 1, \ \text{CHCl}_3); \ ^1\text{H NMR} \ (\text{CDCl}_3, \ 300 \ \text{MHz}):$ δ 1.00 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.00 (1H, broad dq, J = 4.6 and 7.6 Hz, 4-H), 2.21 (6H, s, N(CH₃)₂), 2.40~2.64 (3H, m, 2-H, 10-H and 3'-H), 3.17 (1H, dd, J=7.2 and 10 Hz, 2'-H), 3.41 (1H, broad, s, 3-H), 3.48 (3H, s, 1"-OCH₃), 3.68~3.73 (3H, m, 8-H, 5'-H and 4"-H), 3.82 (1H, dd, J=2.9 and 12 Hz, 6"-Heq), 4.41 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1'-H), 4.56 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1''-H),4.77 (1H, t, J = 2 Hz, 3"-H) and 5.08 (1H, dd, J = 2.3 and 11 Hz, 11-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ 14.9 (10-CH₃), 18.5 (8-CH₃), 25.6 (C-8), 33.7 (C-10), 57.1 (1"-OCH₃), 62.7 (C-6"), 68.4 (C-4"), 70.0 (C-2"), 75.3 (C-5"), 78.7 (C-3), 82.3 (C-3"), 106.0 (C-1") and 171.4 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 789 (M + Na)⁺ and 767 $(M + H)^{+}$.

Anal FAB-HR-MS Cald for $C_{36}H_{67}N_2O_{15}$, $(M+H)^+$: 767.4541. Found: 767.4545.

 $\frac{(E)-[O-(Methyl \beta-D-allopyranosid-3-yl)oxime] of Erythromycin A (14)$

Deprotection of substrate 4 (200 mg, 0.18 mmol) was carried out following the general procedure to afford compound 14 (114 mg, 69%) after flash chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂ - MeOH - NH₄OH, 5:1:0.05) followed by crystallization from a mixture of Et₂O-heptane: Rf 0.14 (CH₂Cl₂ - MeOH - NH₄OH, 10:1:0.05); mp $153 \sim 155^{\circ}$ C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 73 (c \ 1, CHCl_{3}); {}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 1.00 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.68 (1H, broad q, J = 6.9 Hz, 10-H), 3.00 (1H, d, J = 9.1 Hz, 4"-H), 3.22 (1H, dd, J=7 and 10.4 Hz, 2'-H), 3.27 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.51 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.59 (1H, dd, *J*=2.7 and 7.6 Hz, $2^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -H), 3.90 (1H, dd, J=3.1 and 11.9 Hz, 6'''-Heq), 3.99 (1H, d, J=9.4 Hz, 3-H), 4.40 (1H, d, J = 7 Hz, 1'-H), 4.58 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1'''-H), 4.76 (1H, broad d, J = 2.7 Hz, 3'''-H), 4.89 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1''-H) and 5.06 (1H, broad d, J = 10.9 Hz, 13-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 62.5 MHz): δ 14.7 (10-CH₃), 19.0 (8-CH₃), 26.7 (C-8), 33.4 (C-10), 57.2 (1^{'''}-OCH₃), 62.7 (C-6^{'''}), 68.1 (C-4'''), 70.1 (C-2'''), 75.4 (C-5'''), 82.1 (C-3'''), 102.4 (C-1") and 171.5 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 947 $(M + Na)^+$, 925 $(M + H)^+$ and 767 [M + H - (Cladinose)] $-H)]^+$.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{44}H_{81}N_2O_{18}$, $(M + H)^+$: 925.5484. Found: 925.5526. $\frac{9-[O-(Methyl \ \beta-D-mannopyranosid-2-yl)oxime] of}{\text{Erythromycin A (16)}}$

Deprotection of compound 5 (200 mg, 0.18 mmol) was carried out following the general procedure to furnish an isomeric mixture (E and Z) of oximes 16 (114 mg, 68%) after flash chromatography on silica gel $(CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 10:1:0.05)$ as a foam: Rf $0.12 (CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 10:1:0.05);$ ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 300 \text{ MHz}), (E \text{ isomer}): \delta 1.00 (3H, d, J=7 \text{ Hz},$ 8-CH₃), 2.66 (1H, broad q, J = 7.1 Hz, 10-H), 3.00 (1H, dd, J = 8.9 and 9 Hz, 4"-OH), 3.22 (1H, dd, J = 7.1 and 10.3 Hz, 2'-H), 3.29 (3H, 3"-OCH₃), 3.32 (1H, broad dd, J = 4.8 and 9.5 Hz, 5^{'''}-H), 3.51 (3H, s, 1^{'''}-OCH₃), 3.67 (1H, broad s, 11-H), 3.69 (1H, dd, J=2.9 and 9.5 Hz, $3^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -H), 3.79 (1H, t, J = 9.5 Hz, $4^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -H), 3.85 (1H, dd, J = 4.8and 11.5 Hz, 6"-Hax), 3.92 (1H, broad d, J=11.5 Hz, 6'''-Heq), 3.96 (1H, broad d, J = 9.7 Hz, 3-H), 4.03 (1H, dq, J = 6.5 and 9.5 Hz, 5"-H), 4.36 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1'-H), 4.48 (1H, broad s, 1"'-H), 4.52 (1H, broad s, J = 2.9 Hz, 2^{'''}-H), 4.87 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1^{''}-H) and 5.04 (1H, broad d, J = 10.9 Hz, 13-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 62.5 MHz), (E isomer): δ 14.8 (10-CH₃), 19.2 (8-CH₃), 26.4 (C-8), 33.0 (C-10), 57.1 (1"'-OCH₃), 62.7 (C-6"'), 68.8 (C-4""), 74.7 (C-3""), 76.4 (C-5""), 80.7 (C-2""), 101.3 (C-1") and 170.2 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 947 $(M + Na)^+$ and 925 $(M + H)^+$.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{44}H_{81}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$: 925.5484. Found: 925.5541.

 $\frac{(E)-[O-(Methyl \ \beta-D-glucopyranosid-3-yl)oxime] of}{Erythromycin A (17)}$

Deprotection of substrate 6 (140 mg, 0.13 mmol) was carried out following the general procedure to furnish compound 17 (64 mg, 55%) after flash chromatography on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH , 10:1:0.05) as a foam: Rf 0.13 (CH₂Cl₂ - MeOH - NH₄OH, 10:1:0.05); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ -73 (c 1, MeOH); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 1.00 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.41 (1H, ddd, J = 2.4, 10.2 and 12.2 Hz, 3'-H), 2.58 (1H, broad q, J=6.9 Hz, 10-H), 2.97 (1H, d, J = 9 Hz, 4"-H), 3.18 (1H, dd, J = 7.1and 10.2 Hz, 2'-H), 3.24 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.31 (1H, dd, J = 6 and 9.6 Hz, 5^{'''}-H), 3.42 (1H, dd, J = 7.6 and 9.6 Hz, 2"-H), 3.50 (3H, s, 1"-OCH₃), 3.69 (1H, s, 11-H), 3.71 (1H, t, J=9.6 Hz, 4'''-H), 3.88 (2H, d, J=6 Hz, 6'''-H),3.93 (1H, broad d, J=9.5 Hz, 3-H), 4.00 (1H, dd, J=6.2and 9.7 Hz, 5"-H), 4.07 (1H, t, J=9.6 Hz, 3"-H), 4.25 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1'''-H), 4.34 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1'-H),4.88 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1"-H) and 5.04 (1H, dd, J = 2.3and 10.5 Hz, 13-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 14.7 (10-CH₃), 19.0 (8-CH₃), 26.5 (C-8), 32.5 (C-10), 57.3 (1^{'''}-OCH₃), 62.0 (C-6^{'''}), 69.2 (C-4^{'''}), 71.2 (C-2^{'''}), 75.8 (C-5'''), 86.9 (C-3'''), 104.1 (C-1''') and 173.2 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 947 (M+Na)⁺ and 925 $(M + H)^{+}$.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{44}H_{81}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$: 925.5484. Found: 925.5519.

 $\frac{(E)-9-[O-(Methyl \alpha-D-galactopyranosid-4-yl)oxime] of}{\text{Erythromycin A (18)}}$

Compound 7 (350 mg, 0.27 mmol) was deprotected following the general procedure to furnish compound 18 (188 mg, 75%) after flash chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂ - MeOH - NH₄OH, 7:1:0.05) and crystallization from CHCl₃-heptane as a white solid: Rf 0.20 $(CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 7:1:0.05); mp 152 \sim 154^{\circ}C;$ $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ –18 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 1.00 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.66 (1H, dq, J = 1.4 and 6.9 Hz, 10-H), 3.18 (1H, dd, J=7.2 and 10.3 Hz, 2'-H), 3.28 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.38 (3H, s, 1"'-OCH₃), 3.47 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, 5-H), 3.80 (1H, dd, J = 2.7 Hz, and 9.8 Hz, $2^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -H), 3.87 (1H, dd, J=3 and 9.8 Hz, $3^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -H), 4.08 (1H, broad d, J=9.5 Hz, 3-H), 4.40 (1H, d, J=7.2 Hz, 1'-H), 4.62 (1H, broad d, J=3 Hz, 4^{'''}-H), 4.87 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1^{'''}-H), 4.95 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, 1^{''}-H) and 5.03 (1H, dd, J = 2.4 and 10.8 Hz, 13-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 62.5 MHz): δ 14.5 (10-CH₃), 19.2 (8-CH₃), 26.5 (C-8), 32.8 (C-10), 55.6 (1^{'''}-OCH₃), 60.9 (C-6^{'''}), 70.5 (C-2^{'''} C-3" and C-5"), 79.7 (C-4"), 99.8 (C-1") and 171.2 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 947 (M + Na)⁺ and 925 $(M + H)^+$.

FAB-HR-MS Cald for $C_{44}H_{81}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$: 925.5484. Found: 925.5475.

(E)-9-[O-(D-Glucos-4-yl)oxime] of Erythromycin A (19)

Deprotection of substrate 8 (435 mg, 0.34 mg) was carried out following the general procedure to furnish compound 19 (187 mg, 60%), mainly as an anomeric mixture of the pyranosidic forms, after flash chromatography on silica gel $(CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 5:1:$ 0.05) as a foam: Rf 0.22 (CH_2Cl_2 -MeOH-NH₄OH, 5:1:0.05); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 4.41 (1H, d, J = 7 Hz, 1'-H), 4.51 (1H, t, J = 9.3 Hz, 4"'-H), 4.63 (1H, d, J = 7 Hz, 1^{'''}-H β), 4.98 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, 1^{''}-H), 5.06 (1H, broad d, J=11 Hz, 13-H) and 5.32 (1H, d, J=3 Hz, 1^{'''}-Hα); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 62.5 MHz): δ 14.9 (10-CH₃), 18.7 (8-CH₃), 26.3 (C-8), 33.8 (C-10), 61.9 (C-6"'a and $C-6'''\beta$), 70.5 ($C-5'''\alpha$), 71.9 ($C-3'''\alpha$), 72.6 ($C-2'''\alpha$), 74.4 (C-5^{'''}β), 75.1 (C-2'β), 75.5 (C-3^{'''}β), 81.2 (C-4^{'''}α), 81.3 $(C-4'''\beta)$, 92.4 $(C-1'''\alpha)$, 98.7 $(C-1'''\beta)$, 170.5 $(C-9\alpha)$ and 170.9 (C-9 β); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 933 (M+Na)⁺, 911 $(M+H)^+$ and 753 $[M+H-(Cladinose-H)]^+$.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{43}H_{79}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$:

911.5328. Found: 911.5328. <u>(E)-9-{O-[(1-O-Methyl β-D-glucopyranosid-4-yl)-α-D-galactopyranos-4-yl]oxime</u>} of Erythromycin A (**20**)

Deprotection of substrate 9 (400 mg, 0.2 mmol) was carried out following the general procedure to furnish compound 20 (174 mg, 65%) after flash chromatography on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH , 5:1:0.05) and crystallization from Me₂CO as a white solid: Rf 0.15 $(CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 5:1:0.05); mp 195 \sim 199^{\circ}C;$ $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^2 - 8 (c \ 1, \text{ MeOH}); {}^{1}\text{H NMR} (\text{DMSO-}d_6, 300 \text{ MHz}):$ δ 1.00 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.78 (1H, broad d, J = 6.9 Hz, 10-H), 3.03 (1H, t, J = 8.5 Hz, 4"-H), 3.33 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.51 (3H, s, 1""-OCH₃), 4.09 (1H, dq, J = 6.2 and 9.4 Hz, 5"-H), 4.21 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1'-H), 4.59 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1^{'''}-H), 4.72 (1H, t, J = 8.1 Hz, 6"'-Heq), 4.88 (2H, broad s, 1"-H and 2"'-OH), 5.30 (1H, broad d, J=10.7 Hz, 13-H), 5.40 (1H, d, J=5.2 Hz, 2'-OH) and 5.49 (1H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1'''-H); ¹³C NMR $(DMSO-d_6, 75 MHz): \delta 13.4 (10-CH_3), 18.8 (8-CH_3),$ 25.8 (C-8), 30.8 (C-10), 55.8 (1""-OCH₃), 59.9 (C-6""), 60.3 (C-6"'), 69.1 (C-2"'), 69.4 (C-5"'), 71.6 (C-3"'), 73.9 (C-2''''), 75.1 (C-5''''), 76.0 (C-4''''), 77.1 (C-3''''), 83.4 (C-4"), 100.5 (C-1"), 101.1 (C-1"") and 168.4 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 1087 (M+H)⁺.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{50}H_{91}N_2O_{23}$, $(M+H)^+$: 1087.6012. Found: 1087.6041.

 $\frac{(E)-9-[O-(Methyl \alpha-D-glucopyranosid-6-yl)oxime] of}{Erythromycin A (21)}$

To a stirred solution of compound 10 (178 mg, 0.17 mmol) in MeOH (1 ml) was added dropwise sodium methanolate (28 mg, 0.51 mmol) in MeOH (2.54 ml). The mixture was strirred for 10 minutes at room temperature and then, quenched with IRN 77 (H^+) ion exchange resin. The resin was filtered and washed with MeOH. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH , 10:1:0.05) to afford compound 21 (113 mg, 72%) as a foam: Rf 0.2 $(CH_2Cl_2 - MeOH - NH_4OH, 10:1:0.05); [\alpha]_D^{20} - 29 (c$ 0.46, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 1.02 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.64 (1H, broad q, J = 6.7 Hz, 10-H), 2.96 (1H, dd, J=7.1 and 10 Hz, 2-H), 3.03 (1H, dd, J=1.2 and 8.9 Hz, 4"-H), 3.26 (1H, dd, J=7.3 and 10.2 Hz, 2'-H), 3.32 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.46 (3H, s, $1^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -OCH₃), 3.59 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, 5-H), 3.70 (1H, broad s, 11-H), $3.78 \sim 3.86$ (1H, m, 8-H), 4.06 (1H, dq, J = 6.2and 9.7 Hz, 5"-H), 4.14 (1H, dd, J = 1.4 and 10.3 Hz, 3-H), 4.34 (1H, broad d, J=13.4 Hz, 6"'-Hax), 4.40 (1H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1'-H), 4.44 (1H, d, J = 13.4 Hz, 6'''-Heq), 4.82 (1H, d, J=1.8 Hz, 1^{'''}-H), 4.88 (1H, d, J=4.6 Hz, 1"-H) and 5.16 (1H, dd, J=2 and 9.5 Hz, 13-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ 14.9 (10-CH₃), 18.7 (8-CH₃), 26.8 (C-8), 34.0 (C-10), 55.4 (1"'-OCH₃), 71.1 and 71.2 (C-4" and C-5"), 72.4 (C-2"), 72.8 (C-6"), 75.4 (C-3"), 100.7 (C-1") and 171.6 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z947 $(M + Na)^+$, 925 $(M + H)^+$ and 767 [M + H - (Cladinose - H)]⁺.

FAB-HR-MS Cald for $C_{44}H_{81}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$: 925.5484. Found: 925.5477.

 $\frac{(E)-9-[O-(Methyl \alpha-D-glucopyranosid-4-yl)oxime] of}{Erythromycin A (22)}$

To a stirred solution of compound 11 (335 mg, 0.27 mmol) in MeOH (1.5 ml) was added dropwise sodium methanolate (44 mg, 0.81 mmol) in MeOH (4 ml). The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at room temperature and then, quenched with IRN 77 (H⁺) ion exchange resin. The resin was filtered and washed with MeOH. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-NH₄OH, 10:1: 0.05) to furnish compound 11 (162 mg, 65%) as a foam: Rf 0.16 (CH₂Cl₂ - MeOH - NH₄OH, 10:1:0.05); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ -31 (c 0.83, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ 0.98 (3H, d, J=7 Hz, 8-CH₃), 2.66 (1H, broad q, J = 6.9 Hz, 10-H), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 7.5 and 10.2 Hz, 2-H), 2.92 (1H, d, J=9.7 Hz, 4"-H), 3.18 (1H, dd, J=7.5 and 10.2 Hz, 2'-H), 3.20 (3H, s, 3"-OCH₃), 3.30 (3H, s, $1^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -OCH₃), 3.64 (1H, dd, J=4 and 10.9 Hz, $6^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -Hax), 4.34 (1H, d, J=7.5 Hz, 1'-H), 4.73 (1H, d, J=3.2 Hz, 1^{'''}-H), 4.85 (1H, d, J=4.5 Hz, 1^{''}-H) and 5.03 (1H, broad s, J = 10.6 Hz, 13-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ 14.7 (10-CH₃), 18.9 (8-CH₃), 26.6 (C-8), 33.1 (C-10), 55.4 (1^{'''}-OCH₃), 62.1 (C-6^{'''}), 70.6 (C-5^{'''}), 72.4 and 72.6 (C-2" and C-3"), 80.5 (C-4"), 99.7 (C-1") and 170.9 (C-9); FAB-MS (positive): m/z 947 (M + Na)⁺ and 767 $[M+H-(Cladinose-H)]^+$.

FAB-HR-MS Calcd for $C_{44}H_{81}N_2O_{18}$, $(M+H)^+$:

925.5484. Found: 925.5496.

In Vitro Antibacterial Activity

The *in vitro* activity in the tables is the ratio of the geometric mean of erythromycin A/geometric mean of the *O*-glycosyloximes derivatives MICs of 15 clinically isolated strains and 2 ATCC strains as reference. MIC were read after incubation at 37° C for 24 hours and measured by standard broth dilution methods. Concentration in the range $32 \sim 0.06$ mg/liter were tested. Mueller Hinton broth supplemented with 10% lysed horse blood was used for all strains with the following exception: *Heamophilus* were grown in Mueller Hinton broth supplemented with Fildes extract.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant awarded to C.G. by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and A.D.I.R. We thank Professor LUC DUBREUIL, Faculté de Pharmacie de Lille, for having carried out the biological assays.

References

- WASHINGTON, J. A., II & W. R. WILSON: Erythromycin A: A microbial and clinical perspective after 30 years of clinical use (first of two parts). Mayo Clin. Proc. 60: 189~203, 1985
- WASHINGTON, J. A., II & W. R. WILSON: Erythromycin A: A microbial and clinical perspective after 30 years of clinical use (second of two parts). Mayo Clin. Proc. 60: 271~278, 1985
- 3) LARTEY, P. A. & R. FAGHIH: Recent progress in the chemical modification of erythromycin. In Recent progress in the chemical synthesis of antibiotics and related microbial products. Ed., G. LUKACS, Vol. 2, pp. 121~140, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, Budapest, 1993
- DANAN, G.; V. DESCATOIRE & D. PESSAYRE: Self induction by erythromycin and its own transformation into metabolite forming an inactive complex with reduced cytochrome P450. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 218: 509~514, 1981
- 5) BABANY, G.; D. LARREY & D. PESSAYRE: Macrolide antibiotics as inducers and inhibitors of cytochrome P450 in experimental animals and man. *In* Progress in drug metabolism. *Ed.*, G. G. GIBSON, pp. 61~98, Taylor and Francis, London, 1988
- SAKAKIBARA, H. & S. OMURA: Chapter 3. Chemical modification and structure-activity relationship of macrolides. *In* Macrolide antibiotics. Chemistry, biology and practice. *Ed.*, S. OMURA, pp. 85~125, Academic Press, New-York, 1984
- 7) DELAFORGE, M.; P. LADAM, G. BOUILLÉ, J. GHARBI-BENAROUS, M. JAOUEN & J. P. GIRAULT: pH effects on the N-demethylation and formation of the cytochrome P450 iron II nitrosoalkane complex for erythroycin derivatives. Chem.-Biol. Interactions 85: 215 ~ 227, 1992
- DELAFORGE, M.; M. JAOUEN & D. MANSUY: Dual effects of macrolide antibiotics on rat liver cytochrome P-450. Induction and formation of metabolite-complexes: A structure-activity relationship. Biochem. Pharmacol. 32: 2309~2318, 1983
- 9) GHARBI-BENAROUS, J.; P. LADAM, M. DELAFORGE & J. P. GIRAULT: Conformational analysis of major metabolites of macrolide antibiotics roxithromycin and erythromycin A with different biological properties by NMR spectros-copy and molecular dynamics. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2: 2303~2315, 1993
- GASC, J. C.; S. GOUIN D'AMBRIÈRES, A. LUTZ & J. F. CHANTOT: New ether oxime derivatives of erythromycin A. A structure-activity relationship study. J. Antibiotics 44: 313~330, 1991
- 11) GRANDJEAN, C. & G. LUKACS: $S_N 2$ displacement of carbohydrate triflates by 9-oximes of erythromycin A and of a tylosin derivative. J. Carbohydr. Chem.: in the press.
- WATANABE, Y; M. KASHIMURA, T. ASAKA, T. ADACHI & S. MORIMOTO: Chemical modifications of erythromycin. X. Removal of benzyloxycarbonyl and 2-chlorobenzyl groups of erythromycin derivatives by use of catalytic transfer hydrogenation. Heterocycles 36: 761 ~ 768, 1993
- 13) WATANABE, Y.; S. MORIMOTO, T. ADACHI, M. KASHIMURA & T. ASAKA: Chemical modifications of erythromycin.

XI. Selective methylation at the C-6 hydroxyl group of erythromycin A oxime derivatives and preparation of clarithromycin. J. Antibiotics $46: 647 \sim 660, 1993$

14) NAGATE, T.; Y. WATANABE & S. ŌMURA: Chemical modification of erythromycins. II. Synthesis and anti-

bacterial activity of O-alkyl derivatives of erythromycin A. J. Antibiotics 43: $286 \sim 294$, 1990

MASSEY, E. H.; B. KITCHELL, L. D. MARTIN, K. GERZON & H. W. MURPHY: Erythromycylamine. Tetrahedron Lett. 2: 157~160, 1970